

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS:

The attached sheet of drawings includes changes to Figure 1. This sheet, which includes Figure 1, replaces the original sheet including Figure 1.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet

REMARKS

The application has been amended to place the application in condition for allowance at the time of the next Official Action.

As to the priority claim, the Examiner is respectfully requested to view the Image File Wrapper for this application and the amendment of July 5, 2005. At that time, a verified English translation of the Japanese priority document was submitted.

A replacement drawing is submitted for Figure 1 showing first and second communication networks. The above noted change is the only change and is believed not to introduce new matter. As to the recited "contents", Figure 2, shows a flow chart with various contents as recited.

In view of replacement Figure 1 and the above remarks, it is believed that the drawing objection is addressed.

Claims 1, 3, 5-10, 12-14, 16 and 17 are pending in the application.

Claim 1 is amended to clarify the difference between the recited "contents" so as to distinguish between "contents supported by said terminal device" and "converted contents". Claims 10 and 14 are believed already to include this distinction. The above changes are believed to address the 35 USC §112, second paragraph rejection as to claims 1, 10 and 14.

As to claims 7, 8, 12 and 16, there is only one recited "constraint information". However, in order to advance

prosecution, "constraint information" has been changed to "constraint information peculiar to the terminal device" and is believed to address the rejection under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, as to claims 7, 8, 12 and 16. By the present amendment and the foregoing remarks, it is believed that the 35 USC §112, second paragraph rejections have been overcome and should be withdrawn.

Claims 1, 3, 5-10, 12-14, 16 and 17 were rejected as unpatentable over ADAMS et al. 6,457,030 in view of FLETCHER et al. 6,138,156. That rejection is respectfully traversed.

Independent claims 1, 10 and 14 are amended and recite that the storage unit stores constraint information peculiar to the terminal device and type information of contents supported by the terminal device. The claims are also amended to recite that a determination is made whether conversion to contents supported by the terminal device is necessary and whether conversion of the contents obtained from said server device is possible based on the type information of contents supported by the terminal device. See for example page 14, line 15 to page 15, line 16.

Column 7, line 37 to column 8, line 61 of FLETCHER are offered as disclosing a storage unit. This passage relates to both the prior art method of transforming content and the method proposed by FLETCHER. The prior art method is a static method where content is transformed based on rules. The FLETCHER method

is dynamic based on the current condition at the user's workstation.

However, both of these methods are related to transforming content, not storing content. FLETCHER does not disclose type information of contents supported by the terminal device is stored in the storage unit with constraint information peculiar to the terminal device as recited.

ADAMS does not overcome this shortcoming.

ADAMS is offered for the suggestion of verification steps for conversion. However, the conversion in ADAMS is based on rules. ADAMS does not disclose whether conversion to contents supported by the terminal device is necessary and whether conversion of the contents obtained from the server device is possible based on the type information of contents supported by the terminal device.

The above noted features are missing from each of the references, are absent from the combination, and thus, would not have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

In view of the present amendment and the foregoing remarks, it is believed that the present application has been placed in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any

overpayment to Deposit Account No. 25-0120 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

YOUNG & THOMPSON



Liam McDowell, Reg. No. 44,231
745 South 23rd Street
Arlington, VA 22202
Telephone (703) 521-2297
Telefax (703) 685-0573
(703) 979-4709

LM/fb

APPENDIX:

The Appendix includes the following item(s):

- a terminal disclaimer
- a 37 CFR 1.132 Declaration
- a new or amended Abstract of the Disclosure
- a Replacement Sheet for Figure 1 of the drawings
- a Substitute Specification and a marked-up copy of the originally-filed specification
- a verified English translation of foreign priority document